

STIP-EMAIL-1016\_20210812\_Gray\_Confirms\_Monday.pdf

Stipulation Compliance Email Evidence

CSV Row: 1016

Source EML: ROW\_1016\_\_Re\_ mold work - \_Christian Gray\_ \_christiangray3@protonmail.com\_ - 2021-08-12 1109.eml

From: Christian Gray <christiangray3@protonmail.com>

To: Edward Olmsted <olmsted.mac@mac.com>; Margaret B. Sandercock <mbs@goodfarblaw.com>

CC:

Date: 2021-08-12 15:09:19+00:00

Subject: Re: mold work

Body format: html

--- EMAIL BODY ---

Hi Ed. I'll be there at 8:30am on Monday. See you then.

Thank you.

Christian

Sent from ProtonMail for iOS

On Thu, Aug 12, 2021 at 06:36, Edward Olmsted <olmsted.mac@mac.com> wrote: Hi Christian - C  
I meet you at 97 Greene at 8:30 am on Monday instead of later in the day. I think Monday is  
going to be fraught with delays and I would like to get yours done first

Edward Olmsted olmsted.mac@mac.com 1992 Route 9 Garrison, NY 10524 phone 845 424 4077 cell 91  
318 2686 fax 845 424 3482

On Aug 11, 2021, at 4:32 PM, Margaret Sandercock <mbs@goodfarblaw.com> wrote:

First, we need Ed to inspect within 5 days from today. To best of my knowledge, Ed is in the  
Virgin Islands working. Ed, please respond and let me know when you are back and whether you  
can do the inspection or send someone else.

Christian, I can only forward your comments to the extent agreed with by Ed. What we are in  
fact supposed to submit is a response from Ed, not you, and test results.

In my humble and quite likely uneducated opinion, I am not convinced the scope of work matter  
if the test results are good.

From: Christian Gray <christiangray3@protonmail.com> Reply-To: Christian Gray <  
christiangray3@protonmail.com> Date: Wednesday, August 11, 2021 at 4:07 PM To: Edward Olmste  
<olmsted.mac@mac.com> Cc: Margaret Sandercock <mbs@goodfarblaw.com> Subject: Re: mold wor

Sorry I forgot to include Margaret on the email thread, so I resent it.

Hi Ed,

I've cc'd Margaret on this thread.

They only did a fraction of the scope of work that we signed off on.

They were supposed to remove all of the materials in the wall, ceiling, and floor systems down to the studs/joists/slab for all of the three of the studios.

Studio 1 : They removed the first layer of drywall in Studio 1, the middle studio, leaving the first layer of insulation. They didn't even get to the second wall system or the third wall in the case of the north wall, only then would they have gotten to the drywall and insulation of my unit's side of the party wall. They were suppose to remove the floor system down to the slab. They only removed the bamboo flooring and the first layer of sub-floor and there are still layers remaining. They only removed the first layer of acoustic ceiling and left the insulation in. There are no studs visible of any of the wall systems or the ceiling? How are they supposed to clean and treat the studs, which was clearly outlined in the scope of work. The space smells of mold.

Studio 2:

They only removed the first layer of drywall on the first wall and left most of the insulation in. There is more to write but you should probably just come and see for yourself and I have to get back to work now.

Bathroom:

They left all of the raised floor system for the tub in place. It was supposed to be completely removed.

The job that they did does not cover the scope of work that all of the parties agreed upon. This is unacceptable. We spent a lot of time on this, and I've spent a lot of money reaching the settlement of the scope of work that all of the parties signed off on, and it has not been completed. This is totally unacceptable. The original scope of work that we all signed off on needs to be enforced and completed before we start running tests.

Let me know when you can come by.

Thank you.

Christian

Sent with ProtonMail Secure Email.

??????? Original Message ??????? On Tuesday, August 10th, 2021 at 4:35 PM, Edward Olmsted <olmsted.mac@mac.com > wrote:

I spoke with Jack Glass and his assistant, who monitored the work at 97 Greene. They indicate the work is done and all air tests were very low. I have not seen the results. They also indicated that the removal of the walls did not reveal much visible mold growth. the shared wall with the neighbor in the two studios had no mold growth. They did not cut the probes into the neighbor wall in the living room because there was nothing in the two studios, I have not seen their report. When I was there I can corroborate that there was not much visible mold in the walls that were removed that I looked at. There was mold in the ceilings.

Regards

Edward Olmsted olmsted.mac@mac.com 1992 Route 9 Garrison, NY 10524 phone 845 424 4077 cell 914 318 2686 fax 845 424 3482

CONFIDENTIALITY: The information contained in this e-mail message is intended only for the designated recipient. The message may be an attorney/client communication, and if so, is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you have received this e-mail in error. If you have received this e-mail in error, please call Goodfarb & Sandercock, LLP at (914) 428-3400 to advise us of the same, delete the original message from your system and then confirm its deletion. Any review, dissemination, distribution or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. Thank you.